

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/845,489	04/30/2001	David Bach	20816/2	2352
7590 03/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Clifford H. Kraft 320 Robin Hill Drive			CHEU, CHANGHWA J	
Naperville, IL 60540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/845,489	BACH ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jacob Cheu	1641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication			dress	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mate	· ·	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 90-94 is/are pending in the application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exame 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the Application of the Applicatio	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. inner. accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Oπice Action or form P1	O-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO)-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/845,489 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's remarks filed on 3/1/2006 has been received and entered into record and considered.

- 1. Claims 90-94 are under examination.
- 2. Claims 1-89 and 95-101 are cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. The rejections of claims 90-94 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Pfost et al. (US 6485690) as evidenced by Murray et al. (US 4752115) are maintained.

Pfost et al. teach a multi-layered fluid array for high throughput chemical synthesis and biological assay and/or processing. The multi-layered layer fluidic device comprises-

- 1. a top outer layer containing at least one fluid port (see Figure 4 and 5);
- 2. a fluidics layer below said top outer layer containing at least one fluidics channel in fluid communication with said port (see Figure 6-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/845,489 Page 3

Art Unit: 1641

Pfost et al. also teach that "any of the layers in the processor can incorporate electronic or optical elements including, for example, transistors, memory cells, capacitors, resistors, LED's, <u>fiber optics</u> "(Col. 11, line 40-45)(emphasis added).

Although Pfost et al. do not explicitly disclose the details of the fiber optic structure, it is well known to one ordinary skill in the art that the fiber optics are composed of two cladding layers and waveguide core as *evidenced* Murray et al. wherein Murray et al. teach that said fiber optic waveguide having a core layer surrounding by a cladding layer (See claims 12-13; Col. 2, line 25-35; Col. 3, line 21-30).

Pfost et al. also teach each layer can incorporating illuminating exiting source coupled with waveguide (Col. 11, line 47-50).

Pfost et al. teach using fluorescent tags placing in said fluid port when transferred by the fluid channel into reaction well (micro-cuvette) for detection from appropriate light source illuminating (Col. 1, line 35-45).

With respect to claims 91-92, Pfost et al. teach using plurality of micro-cuvettes (reaction wells)(see Figure 9, Col. 11, line 40-45) as evidenced by Murray et al. (see claim 12-13; Col. 2, line 25-35; Col. 3, line 21-30).

With respect to claim 93, the device taught by Pfost contains a bottom supporting layer below the cladding layer (See Figure 4 and Figure 5).

With respect to claim 94, the bottom supporting layer is also optically transparent to light illumination (See Figure 9; Col. 11, line 40-45).

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/845,489

Art Unit: 1641

1. Applicant argues that (1) examiner made an improper 102 anticipation rejection because an additional reference (as evidenced by Murray et al.) was used; (2) Pfost teaches away from an optical structure or not teaching any of his structure conducting lights and (3) Murray et al. reference is not pertinent to biological sensor because it teaches detecting oxygen.

Page 4

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are not persuasive.

(1) The combination of a secondary reference does not violate the principle of 102 anticipation rejection.

The gist of combining Murray et al. reference is not to supply a secondary reference for a missing element as indicated by the case law cited by the applicant (In re Donohue, 226 USPQ 619 and Studiengesellschaft Hohle v. Dart Indus 220 USPQ 841). Rather Murray et al. patent is to show that one of ordinary skill in the art would know that "fiber optics" is generally understood as a waveguide having a core surrounding by cladding layers. Supra. The matter of "fiber optics" is inherently a waveguide having core and cladding layers.

(2) Pfost et al. do not teach away of using "fiber optics" and use light conduction.

Examiner would draw applicant's attention to Col. 11, line 40-55-

"Also, any of the layers in the processor can incorporate electronic or optical elements including, for example, transistors, memory cells, capacitors, resistors, LED's, <u>fiber optics</u>, lenses, micro lenses, phase gratings, computer chips, bells, tuning forks, acoustical wave detectors, edge connectors, surface connectors, or any other <u>means or mechanism of detection</u>, processing, thermal sensing, heating, cooling, <u>exciting</u>, probing, detecting, separating or chemically modifying the samples. Any layer may

Art Unit: 1641

include these elements with or without liquid elements. Any of the layers may also include both liquid and non-liquid elements, and may include means for the liquids to come into contact with non-liquid elements. Any of the layers may also have edge, or in-plane fluidic delivery such as the fluidic edge connector embodiments shown in FIGS. 23 and 26.

FIG. 32 illustrates a processor 220 which utilizes one layer 221 which is primarily non-fluidic. For example, layer 221 has a plurality of light emitting detector elements 222 arranged in pairs.

The teachings of Pfost et al. clearly show that the multi-layered fluidic array is coupled with detection means, including exciting light emitting detector or using fiber optics.

(3) Murray et al. reference is pertinent 102 reference.

The assertion of non-pertinent to combine Pfost et al. with Murray is <u>immaterial</u> for 102 anticipation analysis (emphasis added). The rejection is focused on 102 anticipation, not 103 obviousness rejection. Regardless of whether Murray et al. is a pertinent reference as to suggestion or motivation, the essence of the teaching is to show one ordinary skill in the art would know that "fiber optics" is a "waveguide having cladding and core layers".

Conclusion

- 3. No claim is allowed.
- 4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/845,489

Art Unit: 1641

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Cheu whose telephone number is 571-272-0814. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Itta/Ch

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacob Cheu

Examiner

Art Unit 1641

BAO-THUY L. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER 3/18/06

Page 6

March 7, 2006